THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

W.P. No. 14024 of 2007

Oral order:

The petitioner while working as Engineer with the respondents – National Thermal Power Corporation at Secunderabad, was transferred to Kerala. It is his case that though he was initially reluctant to join at the transferred place, but later he decided to join, and that though he made nearly ten representations, the respondents did not consider the same, and instead, passed an order dated 07.08.2000, terminating his services. He states that he had still ten years of service, and contends that since the respondents had terminated his services illegally, they either by directed to reinstate him into service or give him premature retirement and pay him compensation of Rs.10,00,000/- per annum for the left over service of ten years.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Assailing the order of termination dated 07.08.2000, the petitioner earlier filed writ petition in W.P. No. 21519 of 2000, and the same by order dated 17.12.2002, was dismissed. Aggrieved thereby, he carried the matter in appeal in W.A. No. 268 of 2003, and a Division Bench of this Court by judgment dated 12.04.2005, dismissed the same confirming the order in the writ petition. Thereafter, once again assailing the very same order of termination, the petitioner filed writ petition in W.P. No. 5772 of 2006, and this Court by order dated 08.08.2006 dismissed the same, and the review W.P.M.P. No. 27868 of 2006, was also dismissed on 02.11.2006. The petitioner

unsuccessfully assailed the said orders in W.A. No.224 of 2007, when the Division Bench of this Court by its judgment dated 03.04.2007, dismissed the writ appeal. Thereafter, the petitioner filed writ petition in W.P. No. 14131 of 2001, seeking damages from the respondents, and the same by order dated 05.07.2007, was dismissed by this Court. Not only the order of termination of the petitioner, but also the orders passed in the above said writ petitions and writ appeals attained finality. However, the petitioner assailing the order of termination and claiming the very same reliefs as claimed by him in the above writ petitions and writ appeals, filed the present writ petition, which cannot be entertained and are liable to be dismissed. The manner in which the petitioner is agitating his grievance by filing writ petitions in succession despite the same having been rejected, is nothing but abuse of process of the Court.

The writ petition is ill-advised, and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 16th November, 2007.
GRR/KSR